Case 4:24-mj-71577-MAG Document 6 Filed 11/04/24 Page 1 of 1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

United	d States of America,)	Case No. 4: 24-	mj-71577
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORI UNDER THE SPEE	DER EXCLUDING TIME EDY TRIAL ACT
Eldin	Sahir Miralda-Cour Defendant(s).)))		GILLIP US DISTRICT OF
Trial Act fron	outweigh the best interest of the pub). The court makes this finding and	lic and the bases the	and finds that the end the defendant in a speed is continuance on the f	following factor(s): FLED
	Failure to grant a continuance work See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ıld be lik	ely to result in a misca	arriage of justice. NOV -4 2024
	The case is so unusual or so comp defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exitself within the time limits established.	e prosecu xpect ade	tion, or the exiquate preparation for j	stence of novel questions of the top pretrial proceedings or the trial
	Failure to grant a continuance wou taking into account the exercise of			
	Failure to grant a continuance wou counsel's other scheduled case con <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	nmitmen		
X	Failure to grant a continuance wou necessary for effective preparation See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	ı, taking i		
X	With the consent of the defendant, disposition of criminal cases, the caparagraph and — based on the part the time limits for a preliminary he extending the 30-day time period freezelusions set forth above). See Fe	court sets ties' show earing und for an ind	the preliminary hearing of good cause—der Federal Rule of Crictment under the Spe	ng to the date set forth in the first finds good cause for extending riminal Procedure 5.1 and for edy Trial Act (based on the
IT IS	SO ORDERED.			1, 1,
DATE	D: <u>11/4/24</u>		DONNA M. RYU K	KANDIS A. WESTMORE
STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defendant	 Hh	Assistant United Sta	le